

International Institute APC
Room 206 Ingraham
10:30-12:00
Wed. Sept. 17

Present: Bilbija, Bousquet, Brantly, Fair Gerber, Hallaby, Hauner, Huang, Hutchinson, Kenoyer, Podesta, Rafferty, Schamiloglu, Silberman, Stambach, Suri, Young; Academic Staff reps: Smith, Miller and Vargas.

Observers: Covington, Delehanty, Courtney, Bird Bear, Alter, Tishler

10:35

Bousquet welcomed new directors, noted new connection with School of Education (Stambach)

1. Motion to approve minutes approved

2. Announcements:

Vargas announced new FIPSE grant received by Nelson Institute: comparison of environmental policy of Europe and US and the connection to higher ed. Smith announced that the Inside Islam blog is up and running at www.insideislam.wisc.edu. Radio program to debut next week. Alter announced three new WAGE research collaboratives and invited collaboration from NRCs. Schamiloglu announced Nobel Peace Prize winner Shireen Abadi will be on campus for MESP/Distinguished Lecture Series Oct. 20. Bousquet announced visit of Mary Robinson and Sept. 26 meeting with Human Rights Steering Committee, part of Human Rights Initiative.

Bousquet announced Division of International Studies annual board meeting Oct. 9-10. Reminder of luncheon for all directors and board members.

Report from Hauner and Hallaby on prospects for working with new Chancellor (Martin). Hauner: realistic in goals and open to new ideas, expressed interest in Humanities. Significant that contacted WI State Legislature early on. Hallaby: met Martin while she was Provost at Cornell; impressed. Bousquet: don't need to argue to her importance of languages, research in the field, international studies. II members should be ready to articulate key elements to Martin, as she may be requesting more specific information from us on International Studies.

3. Strategic hiring priorities call. Young: addressed issue of faculty losses, when to invoke "Safety Net." Results of last spring's informal survey (strategic hiring needs) distributed. Call to update information. How can Dean's office lobby Dean of L&S and Chancellor for key positions? Gerber: Need to identify true priorities. Not just a hiring wish list, but explicit rationale for what is really needed to not put Title VI in jeopardy. Bousquet: to maximize chances of getting position, check to see how high a department would rank such a hire. Needs to be in alignment with department. Hauner: need to know timeframe of positions lost from departure, retirement, etc; over how long were losses

recorded? Delehanty: at best, II can lobby for one or two positions. Need to recognize that some centers (i.e. South Asian, LACIS) are really in a critical need. Kenoyer: Advisory Committee of II will evaluate input from all centers. II APC will need to vote on ranked list before it goes to L&S.

Question for Halaby, Hauner: how best to make case to L&S for hires? MH: appointments given on basis of departmental need. Key that departmental ranked positions match yours. CH: gave example of Poli Sci, which did not include Southeast Asian specialist at top of list. Will be occasions when departmental interests will align with II. Is there an alternative way to organize? Get departments on your side. Recommended *Institute-wide decision about 1-2 positions that could be added to departmental allocations*. Come up with accounting to show what the critical needs really are.

Podesta: Not just number of positions, but where they are. If you lack a person in Poli Sci, it doesn't matter if you have 100 positions in other departments. What is the capital that these positions provide to the college and the university? South Hall needs to take stand on behalf of International Institute. Hallaby: Area studies programs are viewed in L&S similar to ethnic studies programs. Departments don't have a good grasp of Title VI benefits. Gerber: Advisory Committee of II should be tasked with prioritizing and identifying 1 or at most 2 positions. Need rationale why these positions are needed, to give more credibility to request. Need to do better outreach to departments: how best to systematically inform departments of the value we add?

Bousquet: 60 FLAS awards collectively, \$60,000 in retention efforts last year.

11:30

4. CNRC meeting.

Discussion of how useful it is to send directors vs. associate directors to this meeting. Goals of CNRC: to influence Congress? Need to remember that these meetings are completely separate from those training and information meetings sponsored by Dept. of Ed. Bousquet: charge to take good notes and share, disseminate information. Courtney will coordinate collection, dissemination of notes. Advisory Committee will have follow-up meeting after CNRC.

11:40

5. NRC Assessment Plans

Check with other NRCs at Santa Fe to see what other universities are doing about cross-center comprehensive assessment plans? Sharon Dickson will lead the charge to coordinate assessment. Need to develop ways to collect data. CNRC does not have assessment on the agenda.

Possibility to share data with other non- Title VI? Take advantage of synergies between departments.

6. Collaboration for Title VI preparations. Sharon Dickson will take lead on coordinating collaboration for Title VI preparation.

11:45

7. FLAS Update

Provost convened task force on fellowships. Strong support for FLAS. Cleared Board of Regents budget. Includes budget to support grad students (includes Grad Allocation). Will help in funding match (top-off) for FLAS.

Hauner: Concern that instructors who teach 3-levels of LCTLs have 75% appointment. Could Centers put in additional 25%? Teaching 3 levels at .75 is a challenge.

Kenoyer: Can't L&S cover this? Hallaby: For II to make its case, needs to provide data on how much Title VI contributes to language teaching, compared to L&S? Courtney will facilitate center surveys to gather this information

11:50 Motion to adjourn approved.

Respectfully submitted,

Jennifer Tishler