

International Institute Academic Planning Council

Friday April 16, 2004

9:00 to 10:30 a.m.

206 Ingraham Hall

Agenda

1. Minutes of the meeting Feb 20, 2004
2. Announcements
 - a) Middle East Title VI A grant
 - b) FLAS update
 - c) Save the Date – May 12th end of year celebration
 - d) NCOLCTL Symposium and Kick off the the Language Institute
 - e) other
3. Faculty seed positions (Materials circulated in hard copy already)
4. Financial requests
 - a) Final approval of support for the Journal of Asian Studies*
 - b) CGES request for matching funds of \$6,700 for a grant to the Humboldt Foundation*
 - c) EUC request for conference funds of \$5,000 for a conference on trade disputes and the WTO in developing countries*
5. The PhD in Development Studies (discussion #2) (Materials will be available at the meeting)
6. Other business

* attachments and/or handouts

DRAFT Minutes of the International Institute Academic Planning Council Feb 20, 2004
Present: Michael Barnett, Klaus Berghahn, Laird Boswell, Gilles Bousquet, Ian Coxhead, Joe Cutter, Jim Delehanty, Sharon Dickson, Vinay Dharwadker, Ken George, Magdalena Hauner, Ronnie Hess, Cathy Meschievitz, David Morgan, Uli Schamiloglu, Aili Tripp, Cynthia Williams, Jonathan Zeitlin, Dave Trubek

1. The minutes of the meeting December 12, 2003 were approved.
2. Several upcoming events were announced; new hires for the International Studies Major (Scott Strauss), International Relations (Helen Kinsella), and EAL&L (Prof. Zhu) were noted; Gilles noted that in March he would be sponsoring a major cultivation event in Chicago on the topic of US and Europe relations, with Mark Pollack as the speaker.
3. The Faculty Development Awards recommendations were reviewed and approved. Aili Tripp was given permission to negotiate final allocations for several awards, subject to clarification of the submitted budgets. The total amount allocated over two years will be \$50,000, in support of eleven faculty members.
4. The APC discussed Ken George's request for assistance to bring the editorial office of the Journal of Asian Studies to the UW Madison starting in 2005. Ken would serve as the editor but needs campus support of approximately \$50,000 a year. He has already obtained verbal commitments for some support from L&S and the Graduate School. Three programs of the Institute voiced strong support for this endeavor and has indicated a willingness to pledge monetary support as well. The APC discussed the pros and cons of supporting editorial offices. The discussion concluded with the following agreement, that the discussion would be tabled subject to a further presentation of a formal financial plan that noted commitments from the area studies programs. The Institute APC indicated that it would look favorably on a proposal that kept the Institute's commitment at 1:3 ratios with the contributions of member area programs, with a max of \$16,000. The APC also noted that a backup plan should be contemplated and that a reasonable backup plan might have costs shared 50-50 between the Institute and the Grad School and L&S, should a Title VI program not get refunded in 2006.
5. A publications sub-committee will be formed to discuss publication options and goals for the Institute, and will bring a report on that topic back to the APC for discussion at a later date.
6. The strategic seed position pilot project was discussed briefly. Gilles and Aili noted that this was not meant to supplant or replace the Institute's safety net policy. Programs were urged to consider submitting a proposal.
7. The APC discussed the Ph.D. in Development Studies, since there is a reason to believe the PhD will approach the Institute to request that it be housed

administratively in the Institute. The PhD has been undergoing some revisions as required by the Graduate School. In March 2004 the Graduate School will decide if the PhD will continue, at which time the program will still have to find an administrative home on campus. Ian Coxhead gave a brief report on the issues facing the PhD (e.g. lack of faculty commitment, dwindling support for graduate students, weak links to departments) and the changes they propose to make in a rebuilding effort. A spirited discussion on the pros and cons of a move to the Institute ensued. Many supported the idea of a revitalization of development studies, but questioned if the PhD was the only way to go. Many expressed concern that unless there was real faculty leadership, adequate staff, and significant relevant sources, it would not be wise to take this on given other priority activities of the Institute. The APC agreed at the end that it would be willing to review a plan if submitted, but noted that these issues would have to be clearly addressed, and that the bar for entry would be quite high. The APC also noted they would welcome alternative strategies to enhance development studies at Madison short of maintaining the PhD program.

There being no other business the meeting was adjourned.